

This shift in population coincided with the development of the new craft of potting. The new technology provided containers for carrying water, storing foodstuffs, and cooking. It brought about a revolution in cuisine because it enabled people to cook a much wider range of dishes than before. Pastoralism developed as a distinct way of life during these final centuries of the Neolithic. Henceforth, people could raise flocks of sheep and goats on the drier steppe lands that were otherwise unsuitable for more intensive farming. [See Pastoral Nomadism.]

Emergence of Town Life. The transition to the Chalcolithic (c. 7000 BP) was followed by an expansion of settlement into the steppe to the limits of dry farming, a process encouraged by a slight amelioration in climate. Most people continued to live in villages and to practice mixed farming, but a hierarchy of sites began to emerge. Some settlements, such as Tell Ḥalaf, Tell Brak, Hama, and Ras Shamra grew much larger and became production centers for the manufacture and distribution of pottery, as well as foci for long-distance trade. Several of these sites can be counted among the first towns. [See Ḥalaf, Tell; Brak, Tell.]

The two main cultures of this era were the Halaf (c. 7000–6500/6000 BP) and the Ubaid (c. 6500–5500 BP). [See Ubaid.] Recent research has demonstrated that the Halaf had its roots in the later Neolithic of the Jezireh (Akkermans, 1990). Halaf sites stretched across northern Syria and Mesopotamia from the Mediterranean Sea to the Zagros Mountains. In Halaf times pottery was produced on a larger scale and on a more systematic basis than in the Neolithic. The vessels were made in a greater variety of shapes, and the fine wares were painted in delicate designs that combined naturalistic and geometric motifs. The more delicate vessels were among the finest prehistoric pottery produced anywhere and were widely traded.

The Ubaid culture originated in southern Mesopotamia but expanded northward and westward until it extended throughout the old Halaf area. For the only time in late prehistory, the lands from the Mediterranean to the Persian Gulf were united in one cultural province. The hallmark of the culture was its pottery: the wares became much more standardized, with less variation in decoration, as production techniques improved. [See Ceramics, article on Mesopotamian Ceramics of the Neolithic through Neo-Babylonian Periods.] During Ubaid times, life in the Syrian countryside continued little changed from the Neolithic, despite the quickening of long-distance contacts. Growing populations, technological advances, and the beginnings of urbanism, however, presaged the new world of the Bronze Age.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Akkermans, P. M. M. G. *Villages in the Steppe*. Amsterdam, 1990. Account of the author's recent excavations at Neolithic and Ḥalaf sites

in the Balikh valley in North Syria. Provides important new information on the later Neolithic and the transition to the Chalcolithic in that region.

- Cauvin, Jacques, and Paul Sanlaville, eds. *Préhistoire du Levant: Chronologie et organisation de l'espace depuis les origines jusqu'au VI^e millénaire*. Paris, 1981. Series of essays, from a conference on the prehistory of the Levant, written in English and French. The best source of information for the Paleolithic in Syria.
- Clark, J. D. "The Middle Acheulian Occupation Site at Latamne, Northern Syria (First Paper)." *Quaternaria* 9 (1967): 1–68. Main account of the archaeological investigations at Latamne, with an assessment of the site's significance.
- Contenson, Henri de. "Early Agriculture in Western Asia." In *The Hilly Flanks and Beyond: Essays on the Prehistory of Southwestern Asia*, edited by T. Cuyler Young, Jr., et al., pp. 57–74. Studies in Ancient Oriental Civilization, no. 36. Chicago, 1983. Summary account of the author's excavations at the Neolithic sites of Tell Aswad, Ghoraife, and Tell Ramad.
- Legge, A. J., and P. A. Rowley-Conwy. "Gazelle Killing in Stone Age Syria." *Scientific American* 257.2 (1987): 88–95. Description of the gazelle-based hunting economy of Abu Hureyra, the methods used to kill the animals, and the transformation of this way of life with the introduction of domesticated animals.
- Molleson, Theya I. "The Eloquent Bones of Abu Hureyra." *Scientific American* 271.2 (1994): 70–75. Vivid account of the daily activities of the people of Abu Hureyra reconstructed from imprints found on their skeletons.
- Moore, A. M. T. "The Development of Neolithic Societies in the Near East." *Advances in World Archaeology* 4 (1985): 1–69. General description, with reference to relevant theories, of the development of farming and village life throughout Southwest Asia; detailed references to archaeological data.
- Moore, A. M. T. "The Impact of Accelerator Dating at the Early Village of Abu Hureyra on the Euphrates." *Radiocarbon* 34.3 (1992): 850–858. Succinct discussion of the results of the excavation at Abu Hureyra; references to related studies.
- Thuesen, Ingeborg. *The Pre- and Protohistoric Periods*. Hama: Fouilles et Recherches de la Fondation Carlsberg, 1931–1938, vol. 1. Copenhagen, 1988. Definitive account of the investigation of the prehistoric deposits conducted by a Danish team under Harald Ingholt's direction at Hama before World War II.

A. M. T. MOORE

Syria in the Bronze Age

There are only three known foci of literate civilization in the Bronze Age, all three of which are in the Fertile Crescent: the southeast (Sumer, Akkad, Elam); the north (Syria); and the southwest (Egypt). Nowhere else is there such sizable and consistent evidence of the use of writing as the basic pillar of social intercourse and of urban growth as early as the third millennium. The study of Bronze Age Syria introduces some marked peculiarities into this moment of history: while southern (Mesopotamian) models dominate in the early periods, new patterns soon emerge. The configurations of Syrian topography, specifically of the stepped region, favored the development of both a new type of territorial organism, the macroregional state, and an altogether different type of political construct that is not even territorial in nature, the tribe. In the Late Bronze Age, Syria presented

a systematic application of the formula of vassal states that served as the backbone for the provincial consolidation of the later Assyrian Empire; out of this, there developed what appears to be the first steppe kingdom. It is significant in that it begins the process of urbanization for the heart of the steppe, reclaiming for urban life one of the last frontiers still available.

There are important correlations between these developments and the nature of material culture, on the one hand, and the social and ethnic base of the people involved, on the other. At the dawn of the Bronze Age, the Sumerian presence is evidenced by a break in the local sequence of material culture: southern assemblages are present in northeastern Syria practically without adaptations. Soon, within the Early Bronze Age, however, two distinctive traditions begin to be identifiable. They have correlations with both artifactual and linguistic evidence: a Semitic urban area in the basins of the Euphrates and Orontes Rivers, and a Hurrian area on the Khabur plain. [See Sumerians.]

A major shift occurs between the Middle and the Late Bronze Ages that, in terms of political development, appears to be of even greater significance than the shift to the Iron Age, some five hundred years later. Prior to about 1500 BCE, there is, among the various urban areas of Syria and the south, a substantial cultural integration, in spite of the differences in material culture and ethnic affiliation. This is a very coherent international order within the outer boundaries of what is properly called Syro-Mesopotamia, of which only the Syrian component will be discussed here. This world comes to an end in the Late Bronze Age. What emerges is the first sharp break between east and west, more or less along the line of the Middle Euphrates; correlative to it is the first sharp break between northern and southern Mesopotamia (Mitanni first and then Assyria in the north and Babylonia in the south). While the epicenter of Mitanni is still in Syria, in the Khabur basin, the east-west split becomes stronger with the advent of Assyria and the shift of the epicenter to the area of the Tigris River. [See Mitanni; Assyrians.]

Core Territorial States. The end of the prehistoric age witnessed a hiatus in the unfolding of an indigenous Syrian tradition of material culture. Following the earlier Halaf period, which is strongly marked as North Syrian, especially in its distribution of ceramic types, there was a vacuum, possibly the result of volcanic eruptions of some magnitude of that seems to have left traces in both the geological and the archaeological record (Chaghar Bazar, Ziyada). [See Halaf, Tell.] The Sumerians entered this vacuum and, toward the beginning of the Bronze Age, settled in large urban enclaves. Their ethnic identification rests almost exclusively on elements of material culture that associate, with extremely close correlations, the Syrian sites with Uruk and other cities of the Mesopotamian south. [See Uruk-Warka.]

The motivation for this expansion, and the administrative mechanisms that characterized it, are still under discussion (Algaze, 1993); however, what appears certain is that the first cities, such as Tell Brak and Habuba Kabira, owed their initial momentum to a southern impulse. [See Brak, Tell; Habuba Kabira.]

Sumerian influence waned rapidly, and a vigorous indigenous tradition was resumed, as evidenced by EB material culture. The type of ceramic known as metallic ware is the most obvious indicator of such stylistic autonomy, but a variety of other elements confirm the conclusion—whether it be the absence of plano-convex bricks or the development of an independent figurative style. This autonomy is associated with two distinct ethnic groups. The first is Semitic and is closely linked to the Akkadian cities of the south. It is referred to in the literature as the Kish civilization (Gelb, 1981) because its earliest documents come from that city; however, its most distinguished (i.e., archaeologically productive) representatives are Ebla and Mari. [See Kish; Ebla; Mari.] The second urban tradition is characterized by a Hurrian ethnic component—although this is still at the level of a working hypothesis. It is predicated on a number of considerations: the importance of the landscape of the Khabur plain in Hurrian mythology (known from later Hittite archives but reflecting an EB context), especially the urban center of Urkeš, now identified with Tell Mozan; the presence, however limited for now, of Hurrian texts and onomastics from the latter part of the third millennium; and the distinctiveness of material culture traits in the great urban centers of the Khabur plain. [See Hurrians; Mozan, Tell.] Other major cities on the northern plains illustrate an exceptional urban growth toward the middle of the third millennium (Tell Chuera, Tell Brak, Tell Leilan), which may be followed by a temporary period of decline at the end of the same millennium. [See Chuera, Tell; Leilan, Tell.]

What is known of the political configuration of the EB Syrian states is still very limited. The term *core territorial state*, suggests that these were city-states on their way to a limited territorial expansion. The critical issue is to know the extent to which cities that had been politically independent at an earlier stage of their development did, or did not, come under the political control of other dominant city-states. That any city-state would have included a hinterland seems obvious; however, in terms of political structure, the question is whether this hinterland was in turn urban or purely rural. There are no answers yet to this question for the Early Bronze Age.

There is another important dimension to the question of the hinterland. Besides the hinterland directly adjacent to the urban center, there were remote hinterlands that retained a great degree of autonomy but were nevertheless within the purview of certain specific urban areas. Of particular significance are the range of the Tur 'Abdin and, farther north,

the Anatolian plateau (the “Outer Fertile Crescent”). They did not develop urban centers proper in the Early Bronze Age but were in close commercial contact with the great urban centers in the presumed Hurrian area, for which they served as purveyors of raw materials, especially copper and perhaps tin. The second remote hinterland was the steppe, on either side of the Middle Euphrates. All indications are that it remained very sparsely populated throughout the Bronze Age, although it came to be exploited more and more effectively as a vast range land by the rural classes at home in the narrow river oasis.

Alternative Political Structures. The nature of the steppe hinterland was such that the peasants of the Middle Euphrates developed a considerable degree of autonomy from the city (i.e., from Mari). Their pastureland in the steppe was too broadly scattered and generally too unimportant (except, precisely, as pasture land) to be closely controlled by the urban power structures. Thus, they developed a degree of political leverage not enjoyed by their counterparts in the rest of the Near East. In this perspective, it is easier to understand two phenomena that mark a profound structural innovation in the general political development of the ancient Near East and that are specifically Syrian in origin.

The first is the tribe viewed as a political organism, evidence of which is connected with the Amorites. [See Amorites.] In political terms, the tribe is the equivalent of the city in that it provides a mechanism for sociopolitical integration; however, it differs from it because in the tribe there is no presumption of territorial contiguity but, rather, a fictitious kinship system that replaces it. The result is analogous: large human groups develop a strong sense of solidarity and, in spite of the greater simplicity of the details of its institutional manifestations, there is an analogous articulation of power throughout the entire group. This also developed to a higher degree the phenomenon of ethnic self-identity, which became increasingly apparent in the texts.

The second major innovation in terms of political constructs is what may be called the macroregional state. Most of the EB and MB territorial states are generally lumped together into two vague categories, city-states and empires, depending on a generic perception of relative size. Territorial accretion does not, however, in and of itself, say much about the internal structure of the political entity. Some clues emerge if the differential nature of the territories and of the populations is considered. In this sense, a macroregional state subsumes geographically heterogeneous territories, so that regional identity has to be achieved through administrative means. The first clear instance of such a state is the one that includes the river oasis of the Middle Euphrates, the steppe on either bank of the river, and the plains of the Khabur triangle. The political center of this macroregion was traditionally in the Middle Euphrates, at Mari; a

reduced version continued after the fall of Mari, possibly centered at Terqa. [See Terqa.] The titulature of the king includes the term *khana*, which refers precisely to the broader geographical horizon.

Of particular significance is the kingdom established in the same macroregion by Shamshi-Adad I, who was of Amorite origin and had come as an outsider to Aššur, where he succeeded in ousting the local dynasty. [See Aššur.] In his effort to reopen the western frontier, he eventually moved the capital of the state from Aššur to Shubat-Enlil, one of the splendid recent discoveries of Syrian archaeology (modern Tell Leilan), and conquered Mari, where he installed his son Yasmakh-Addu as governor. He thus achieved control, to an even larger degree, of the macroregion that had been Mari’s special purview. In the east, he left his other son, Ishme-Dagan, as governor, residing in Ekallatum, just south of Aššur. There, the capital followed the state, rather than the other way around, as had been the case with the earlier city-states. The administrative triangle implemented by Shamshi-Adad I is revealing of the new administrative and political pattern identifiable as macroregional: the state is conceived as an entity in itself, not as an outgrowth of a city-state, which retains priority even when it is no longer in the center of action. The case of Ur, some two centuries earlier, may have served as a lesson: located in the extreme southern region of a unified Mesopotamian territory, but maintained as capital in spite of its locational disadvantage, Ur lost out to Ishbi-Erra when he took over the area of Nippur—at the same time the geographic, economic, and religious center of the state. [See Ur.] The triangle established by Shamshi-Adad broke forcibly with tradition: the original city-state, Aššur, was replaced by three centers placed strategically at the extreme north-center (Shubat-Enlil), the extreme southeast (Ekallatum), and the extreme southwest (Mari). It is a formula that reveals a high degree of political and administrative awareness, particularly because the first two of these cities were essentially new foundations—a formula that would have illustrious parallels in later times, all the way to the tetrarchy of the Roman Empire. In spite of all this, the state founded by Shamshi-Adad did not survive much beyond his death, and the centrality of the Khabur plains (Jezireh) was not to be found again until some three centuries later by the kingdom of Mitanni.

There is another mechanism of political expansion that is not specifically Syrian but finds its major implementation in Syria: the development of a relationship between suzerain and vassal states. In the political terminology of the times, vassal kings “follow” their respective suzerains—where following presumably implied payment of tribute, preferential trade agreements, and dependence in foreign policy; autonomy was probably guaranteed in most internal matters, as well as in the transmission of power from father to son. Aleppo was the most important suzerain state in the whole

of Syro-Mesopotamia, which makes it especially regrettable that excavations in the levels dating to this period are well-nigh impossible. [See Aleppo.]

Cosmopolitan Age. Toward the middle of the second millennium BCE, some radical transformations took place in the Near East that marked a sharp break between two eras. The cultural fracture witnessed in about 1500 BCE appears to have been of greater consequence than the one that took place some five hundred years later. The latter is normally considered the most significant because, with the introduction of iron, the “Bronze Age” came to an end; however, the impact on terminology was perhaps greater than on history.

In about 1500 BCE, politically, the world of the Syro-Mesopotamian territorial states came to an end. In its stead, large macroregional states extended beyond the borders of Syro-Mesopotamia and developed further the premises laid by the earlier formula implemented at Mari and Shubat-Enlil. In Mesopotamia there was, for the first time, the clear regional breakup into Babylonia (in the south) and Assyria (in the north). For the first time Egypt became directly involved in a policy of territorial expansion and military (if not quite administrative) control in Southwest Asia. The Hittites brought Anatolia into close contact with Syria, where yet another kingdom completed the new configuration of these new major world powers: it was the kingdom of Mitanni, which controlled the entire region from the Tigris to the Mediterranean. [See Hittites.]

Unlike the Amorite states of Syro-Mesopotamia, these new macroregional states were all quite different from each other, beginning with their ethnic and linguistic backgrounds. Mitanni is centered at the Upper Khabur and is heavily imbued with Hurrian and Indo-European elements. The question as to the location of its capital has been renewed: it would seem to have been either Waššukanni, presumably to be found on the mound of Tell Fakhariyah or Taidu (presumably Tell Hamidiya). Recent excavations suggest that the entire citadel at Hamidiya may correspond to the royal palace, which would then be of extraordinary proportions for that place and time. The kings of Mitanni were on a par with the great powers: their letters have been found in the archives of the Egyptian pharaohs, with whom they intermarried. Like the other documents exchanged among the new regional kingdoms, these letters were written for the most part in Akkadian which, except for Assyria and Babylonia, was not the language of the parties involved. These kingdoms were so foreign to each other they needed a common lingua franca of diplomacy—the first such case in history. [See Akkadian.]

Mitanni was the last world power of the Bronze Age to be centered in Syria. Following its demise in the fifteenth century BCE, Syria was broken up into a number of vassal states. Those states recognized their allegiance to the Hittites, fol-

lowing the pattern of suzerain/vassal relationships first defined in the Middle Bronze Age. The internal, institutional history of these kingdoms is of great interest and well documented—particularly Alalakh and Ugarit. [See Alalakh; Ugarit.] They were essentially city-states and expanded territorial states, of the type already known from earlier periods throughout Syro-Mesopotamia. The best documentation of a type of imperial control, known also from other areas and periods, but not otherwise well documented, regards the foreign relations these states had with the great external powers, Egypt and Hatti. Both Egyptians and Hittites ruled as suzerains vis-à-vis vassals. Because political stability was in the interest of the overlords, as long as the vassals remained loyal, the local kings came in fact to rely on their suzerains as guarantors of their own position. Two details distinguish the Egyptian from the Hittite system. The pharaohs maintained garrison cities manned by Egyptians (e.g., Beth-Shean in Canaan): the high officials in these towns were not really regional governors, but rather contact points in a communication network linking the Syrian kings with the pharaoh. The Hittites, on the other hand, placed a Hittite prince as ruler of a Syrian city (especially Carchemish) almost in the function of a viceroy over Syria. [See Beth-Shean; Carchemish.]

One of the LB kingdoms in Syria deserves special mention: Amurru. It is known primarily from complaints lodged against its kings by the rulers of neighboring states and from texts from Ugarit that vividly describe events affecting both kingdoms. There are reasons to suggest, however, that the kingdom of Amurru developed structural particularities that set it apart from the other Syrian vassal states. Its political epicenter was in the inland parts of the steppe, and the cities it occupied, which became the hub of new political activity (such as Sumura, most probably Tell al-Kazel), do not appear properly as capitals: in this respect, Amurru may be regarded as the first state formation properly based in the steppe, possibly without a fully established urban center, unless Palmyra was already beginning to develop then. [See Kazel, Tell al-; Palmyra.] Because it reached from the steppe across the coastal ranges all the way to the Mediterranean, it appears as a macroregional state in its own right. It presumably served as a buffer state for the Hittite suzerain kingdom at the border against Egypt, in much the same way that Carchemish functioned against Assyria to the East.

The political system of the “cosmopolitan age” was a total system, in the sense that it did not allow any individual or groups to operate apart from the system. Within the state, everyone had to pay allegiance to the political system, as a subject of the ruler. Refusal to do so could only result in armed conflict or escape. Both patterns are attested. Armed conflict is normally at the level of the ruling class, especially when one dynasty is replaced by another: however, in such cases there is simply a violent transmission of power within

the same type of political system, not an institutional revolution aimed against the regime. Escape of single individuals, or small groups, from one state to another is instead attested more frequently throughout the second millennium BCE. The common term used to refer to them is *ḥapiru*: fugitives, stateless individuals, and social outcasts uprooted from their original homeland and unable to find full legal status in the country to which they have fled. As such they are first attested in MB Syro-Mesopotamia, and then especially in LB Syria, where they became a constant object of international legislation in the numerous treaties of the period. They disappeared in the first millennium BCE, when the empire precluded any effective possibility of escaping from one state to another, as in the earlier periods. Their appearance in the second millennium BCE, then, underscores a specific political dimension of the state organization as it was becoming consolidated: the political will to impose the same political status on all members of the state allowed no exceptions. The self-identity of the state was so well defined and exclusive that escape into exile became a pattern of the evolving world of urban civilization.

Technology for a New Age. In about 1500 BCE, a whole series of new technological advances took place specifically identified with Syria that have not yet been properly assessed for what they mean when taken together. The best known is the domestication of the horse: what is remarkable is that this process entailed not just the taming of the animal (as it had been with earlier species), but its specific training to respond to specific commands and to perform specific tasks in close collaboration with its human rider or driver, as in the case of the chariot. The use of such technology was reserved for the military. [See Chariots.] What is even more remarkable is that the whole process was written down in a “how-to” manual, one of the first such manuals. Although its extant version comes from Hittite archives outside of Syria, both its cultural and linguistic origins were in the kingdom of Mitanni in northeast Syria.

The second great technological innovation, the manufacture of a new chemical substance—glass—reflects greater human control over the forces of nature. [See Glass.] It appeared at about the same time as the domestication of the horse, in about the same area. A technical instructional manual describes the process of manufacturing; here, too, the actual manual is found in later versions from outside the area (southern Mesopotamia in this case).

The innovations from the western littoral are well documented from direct Syrian evidence. The first is a system of musical notation, a musical score. The earliest-known example comes from Ugarit. It was originally thought to be a mathematical text, but the numbers turned out to be references to the strings of a musical instrument—hence, “notes”—written in conjunction with a lyric, presumably religious in content. The people of Ugarit may have written this down because the song originated in a linguistic context

(Hurrian) no longer well understood. [See Hurrian.] They may have wanted to retain the text and music in their pure form, for which the oral tradition was deemed insufficient. Modern decoding of the score is still tentative, but several interpretations (and corresponding musical renderings) of the text have been produced by scholars (see, for example, Marcelle Duchesne-Guillemin, *A Hurrian Musical Score from Ugarit*, Malibu, 1985).

The last innovation is the best known. What the microchip did for the computer industry, the alphabet did for writing—and its introduction and first general use is attested from the ancient Syrian city of Ugarit. So much so, in fact, that the first full-fledged alphabetic script ever is called Ugaritic. [See Ugaritic.] As with the preceding “inventions” of this period, a technical document is extant: a tablet that records, with the utter majesty of simplicity, nothing but the alphabet itself.

Infrastructure of Empire: The Arameans. The political structures that followed the great cosmopolitan age of the Late Bronze period were on a much larger scale than anything known. They were truly “empires,” in the sense of a universal state that imposed uniform administrative structures over people of different backgrounds and cultures. In its early form, the empire was dominated by the Assyrians, at home in northeastern Mesopotamia and thus outside Syria. What Syria contributed to the empire was its ethnic infrastructure, and this began to take shape in the Late Bronze with the origins of the Arameans. They were at home in the Syrian steppe, which had already served as the pastureland of the Amorites in the early periods: there and in the adjacent river valleys they gave rise to a series of territorial states with their own characteristic art. As their states came to be subsumed more and more under the ever-encroaching political arm of Assyria, the Arameans spread as a people beyond their original enclave. Their language came to be used beyond the limits of Syria. They eventually achieved what the Amorites had not succeeded in doing in the earlier periods: Aramaic came to be the common language of the empire, a lingua franca that was no longer that of the ruling chancery at the top, but that of an originally rural people at the base. [See Aramaic Language and Literature; Arameans.]

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ahlström, Gösta W. *The History of Ancient Palestine from the Palaeolithic Period to Alexander's Conquest*. Sheffield, 1993. The most recent overall presentation of Palestine in biblical times; covers the Bronze Age in considerable detail.
- Algaze, Guillermo. *The Uruk World System: The Dynamics of Early Mesopotamian Civilization*. Chicago, 1993. Well-documented, interpretive study of the transition to the Bronze Age.
- Buccellati, Giorgio. *Cities and Nations of Ancient Syria*. Studi Semitici, 26. Rome, 1967. Analysis of LB political institutions.
- Buccellati, Giorgio. “From Khana to Laqe: The End of Syro-Mesopotamia.” In *De la Babylonie à la Syrie, en passant par Mari: Mélanges*

- offerts à Monsieur J.-R. Kupper à l'occasion de son 70e anniversaire, edited by Önhan Tunca, pp. 229–253. Liège, 1990. Development of MB–LB tribal institutions and institutional transformations.
- Gelb, Ignace J. “Ebla and the Kish Civilization.” In *La lingua di Ebla*, edited by Luigi Cagni, pp. 9–73. Istituto Universitario Orientale Seminario di Studi Asiatici, Series Minor, 14. Naples, 1981. Classic formulation of historical and linguistic components.
- Klengel, Horst. *Geschichte Syriens im 2. Jahrtausend v.u.Z.* 3 vols. Berlin, 1965–1970.
- Liverani, Mario. *Antico Oriente: Storia, società, economia*. Rome, 1988. The best overall history of the ancient Near East, from interpretive and documentary perspectives.
- Weiss, Harvey, ed. *Ebla to Damascus: Art and Archaeology of Ancient Syria; An Exhibition from the Directorate-General of Antiquities and Museums of the Syrian Arab Republic*. Washington, D.C., 1985.
- Weiss, Harvey, ed. *The Origins of Cities in Dry-Farming Syria and Mesopotamia in the Third Millennium B.C.* Guilford, Conn., 1986.

GIORGIO BUCCELLATI

Syria in the Iron Age

The beginning of the Iron Age in Syria is marked by a wide movement of peoples, sometimes barbarians, coming from the sea and inland, as well as by the establishment of numerous kingdoms. The invaders from the sea swarmed into Asia Minor.

Sea Peoples. Invaders from the sea, known as the Sea Peoples, attacked Egypt by land and sea and were thrown back by Pharaoh Rameses III. He did, however, allow them to settle on the Palestinian and Syrian coast. Contemporary with the advance of the Sea Peoples in Egypt, a number of noted Syrian Cities, such as Carchemish, Alalakh, Ugarit, and Summurru, were overrun and destroyed. [See Carchemish; Alalakh; Ugarit.]

Egyptian records make it clear that the Sea Peoples were a composite group drawn from a number of tribes. Rameses III mentions six tribes, the Peleset (Philistines), Sherden, Dannu, Shekesh, Tjeker, and Weshesh, while other Egyptian lists add some eight more names. [See Philistines, *article on Early Philistines.*] The Philistines occupied the land named after them, while the Danunians occupied the land around Adana in Cilicia. [See Cilicia.] In Syria there is little in the archaeological record to attest to the Sea Peoples. There is, however, one example of the material culture that may reasonably be associated with the newcomers. This is a type of pottery decorated with elaborate patterns, characteristic of which are metopes enclosing stylized birds.

The invasion of the Sea Peoples into Asia Minor induced its populations to go in search of new lands. They migrated to North Syria, where they established the so-called Neo-Hittite kingdoms. Other groups established themselves with the Arameans in Hadata (Arslan Tash), Til Barsip (Tell Ahmar), Aleppo, and Hama. [See Arameans; Til Barsip; Aleppo; Hama.] In all these places archaeologists have discovered a number of inscriptions written in Luwian/hieroglyph Hittite. [See Hittite.] It is assumed that the Aramean

kings used this language with those of their subjects for whom it was a native tongue. It seems that the immigrants from Asia Minor were integrated with the Arameans and even with the area's older population.

Arameans. The original homeland of the Arameans and their first appearance in Mesopotamian documents are matters of dispute. Some scholars have suggested that the Syrian desert was their homeland. The first Arameans whose existence is documented lived on the fringes of the desert in the area of the Upper and Middle Euphrates River and in the area between Jebel Bishri and the oasis of Palmyra. [See Palmyra.]

The name *Aram* or the adjective *Aramean* occurred in the late third and in the first half of the second millennia BCE. However, it is uncertain whether the name *Aram* is connected to the later tribes by that name. The earliest possible reference to the Arameans is found in the topographic lists of Amenophis III (1413–1377 BCE). Among the places listed on statue D, which was found in this pharaoh's funerary temple, is the name *pirmw*, meaning “the people Aram,” or the Arameans. The first incontestable mention of the Arameans comes from the reign of the Assyrian king Tiglath-Pileser I (1117–1078 BCE). In the first Assyrian annal they are called Ahlamu-Aram. A little later the name *Ahlamu* disappeared. It must be noted that the name *Aram* in the Hebrew Bible was once written with the word *paddan*, as Paddan Aram. It is possible that they used the double name to distinguish one group from the other.

It seems that the Aramean tribes were the enemy, and a dangerous one, of Assyria from the time of Tiglath-Pileser I. This king said “Twenty-eight times I have crossed the Euphrates after the Aramean Ahlamu, twice in one year.” They were spread into the settled areas of Syria and northern Mesopotamia during the eleventh century BCE and established many kingdoms; the Syrian coast, however, remained free of Aramean migrations. There existed a number of small Canaanite states: Siyanu/Ushnato, Arwad, Sumur, Gubla/Byblos, Sidon, and Tyros (Iraq el-Amir). [See Arwad; Byblos; Sidon; Iraq el-Amir.] To the east there were numerous Aramean states, in the Euphrates and Khabor valleys and to the north of the sources of the Balikh and Khabor Rivers: Aram Zobah (later Aram Damascus), Hamath, Bit-Agusi, Bit-Adini, Sam'al, Bit-Bahiani, Nisibis, Gidara, Hozirani, Bit-Zamani, Laqe, Hindanu, Bit-Halupe, and Suhi. For two hundred years the Assyrian kings fought the Aramean states, defeated them, deported their kings and leaders to Assyria, and captured their capital cities and transformed them into Assyrian provinces. [See Assyrians.] The annals of the Assyrian kings report the Assyrian military campaigns in Syria. These Assyrian documents are the principal sources for writing Aramean history because Aramaic inscriptions are poorly represented. This discussion of the history of the main and best-known Aramean kingdoms is guided by the Assyrian annals.

THE OXFORD ENCYCLOPEDIA OF
ARCHAEOLOGY IN THE NEAR EAST

EDITORS

William G. Dever Carol L. Meyers James D. Muhly
Dennis Pardee James A. Sauer

CONSULTING EDITOR

Paul Corby Finney

ASSISTANT EDITOR

John S. Jorgensen

ASOR CONSULTANTS

Pierre M. Bikai Seymour Gitin Stuart Swiny

ADVISERS

David E. Aune Ofer Bar-Yosef Ghazi Bisheh Giorgio Buccellati
Annie Caubet Trude Dothan Oleg Grabar William W. Hallo
William D. Kingery Siegfried Mittmann P. R. S. Moorey
Ernst Pernicka Donald B. Redford David Stronach
Javier Teixidor Richard L. Zettler

THE OXFORD
ENCYCLOPEDIA OF
ARCHAEOLOGY
IN THE NEAR EAST



PREPARED UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE
AMERICAN SCHOOLS OF ORIENTAL RESEARCH

Eric M. Meyers

EDITOR IN CHIEF

VOLUME 5

New York Oxford
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

1997

Oxford University Press

Oxford New York
Athens Auckland Bangkok Bogotá
Bombay Buenos Aires Calcutta Cape Town
Dar es Salaam Delhi Florence Hong Kong Istanbul
Karachi Kuala Lumpur Madras Madrid Melbourne
Mexico City Nairobi Paris Singapore
Taipei Tokyo Toronto

and associated companies in
Berlin Ibadan

Copyright © 1997 by Oxford University Press, Inc.

Published by Oxford University Press, Inc.,
198 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016

Oxford is a registered trademark of Oxford University Press

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,
electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of Oxford University Press.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
The Oxford encyclopedia of archaeology in the Near East / prepared
under the auspices of the American Schools of Oriental Research;
Eric M. Meyers, editor in chief.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references (p.) and index.

1. Middle East—Antiquities—Encyclopedias. 2. Africa, North—Antiquities—
Encyclopedias. I. Meyers, Eric M. II. American Schools of Oriental Research.

DS56.09 1996 96-17152 939'.4—dc20 CIP

ISBN 0-19-506512-3 (set)

ISBN 0-19-511219-9 (vol. 5)

Many photographs and line drawings used herein were supplied by contributors to the work. Others were drawn from the archives of the American Schools of Oriental Research, from commercial photographic archives, and from the holdings of major museums and cultural institutions.

The publisher has made every effort to ascertain that necessary permissions to reprint materials have been secured. Sources of all photographs and line drawings are given in the captions to illustrations.

Printing (last digit): 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper

ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS



ACOR	American Center of Oriental Research	BSAJ	British School of Archaeology in Jerusalem	Egyp.	Egyptian
AD	<i>anno Domini</i> , in the year of the (our) Lord	B.T.	Babylonian Talmud	Elam.	Elamite
AH	<i>anno Hegirae</i> , in the year of the Hijrah	c.	<i>circa</i> , about, approximately	En.	<i>Enoch</i>
AIA	Archaeological Institute of America	CAARI	Cyprus American Archaeological Research Institute	Eng.	English
AIAR	(W. F.) Albright Institute of Archaeological Research	CAD	computer-aided design/drafting	enl.	enlarged
AJA	<i>American Journal of Archaeology</i>	CAORC	Council of American Overseas Research Centers	esp.	especially
Akk.	Akkadian	CE	of the common era	et al.	<i>et alii</i> , and others
Am.	<i>Amos</i>	cf.	<i>confer</i> , compare	etc.	<i>et cetera</i> , and so forth
ANEP	J. B. Pritchard, ed., <i>Ancient Near East in Pictures</i>	chap., chaps.	chapter, chapters	Eth.	Ethiopic
ANET	J. B. Pritchard, ed., <i>Ancient Near Eastern Texts</i>	1 Chr.	1 Chronicles	et seq.	<i>et sequens</i> , and the following
AOS	American Oriental Society	2 Chr.	2 Chronicles	Ex.	<i>Exodus</i>
APES	American Palestine Exploration Society	CIG	<i>Corpus Inscriptionum Graecarum</i>	exp.	expanded
Ar.	Arabic	CIS	<i>Corpus Inscriptionum Semiticarum</i>	Ez.	<i>Ezekiel</i>
‘Arakh.	‘Arakhūn	cm	centimeters	Ezr.	<i>Ezra</i>
Aram.	Aramaic	CNRS	Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique	fasc.	fascicle
ASOR	American Schools of Oriental Research	col., cols.	column, columns	fem.	feminine
Assyr.	Assyrian	Col.	<i>Colossians</i>	ff.	and following
A.Z.	‘Avodah Zarah	1 Cor.	1 Corinthians	fig.	figure
b.	born	2 Cor.	2 Corinthians	fl.	<i>floruit</i> , flourished
B.A.	Bachelor of Arts	CTA	A. Herdner, <i>Corpus des tablettes en cunéiformes alphabétiques</i>	ft.	feet
Bab.	Babylonian	cu	cubic	frag., frags.	fragment, fragments
BASOR	<i>Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research</i>	d.	died	gal., gals.	gallon, gallons
B.B.	<i>Bava’ Batra’</i>	DAI	Deutsches Archäologisches Institut	Geog.	Ptolemy, <i>Geographica</i>
BC	before Christ	diss.	dissertation	Ger.	German
BCE	before the common era	Dn.	<i>Daniel</i>	GIS	Geographic Information Systems
Bekh.	<i>Bekhorot</i>	DOG	Deutsche Orient-Gesellschaft	Gk.	Greek
Ber.	<i>Berakhot</i>	D.Sc.	Doctor of Science	Gn.	<i>Genesis</i>
Bik.	<i>Bikkurim</i>	Dt.	<i>Deuteronomy</i>	ha	hectares
BP	before the present	EB	Early Bronze	Heb.	Hebrew
BSAE	British School of Archaeology in Egypt	Eccl.	<i>Ecclesiastes</i>	Hg.	<i>Haggai</i>
BSAI	British School of Archaeology in Iraq	ed., eds.	editor, editors; edition	Hitt.	Hittite
		ED	Early Dynastic	Hos.	<i>Hosea</i>
		EEF	Egyptian Exploration Fund	Hur.	Hurrian
		e.g.	<i>exempli gratia</i> , for example	IAA	Israel Antiquities Authority
				<i>ibid.</i>	<i>ibidem</i> , in the same place (as the one immediately preceding)
				IDA(M)	Israel Department of Antiquities (and Museums)
				i.e.	<i>id est</i> , that is

<i>IEJ</i>	<i>Israel Exploration Journal</i>	<i>Meg.</i>	<i>Megillah</i>	<i>SEG</i>	<i>Supplementum Epigraphicum Graecum</i>
IES	Israel Exploration Society	mi.	miles	ser.	series
IFAPO	Institut Français d'Archéologie du Proche-Orient	<i>Mk.</i>	<i>Mark</i>	sg.	singular
<i>Is.</i>	<i>Isaiah</i>	mm	millimeter	<i>Sg.</i>	<i>Song of Songs</i>
IsMEO	Istituto Italiano per il Medio ed Estremo Oriente	mod.	modern	<i>Shab.</i>	<i>Shabbath</i>
<i>Jb.</i>	<i>Job</i>	Mt.	Mount	s.J.	Societas Jesu, Society of Jesus (Jesuits)
<i>Jer.</i>	<i>Jeremiah</i>	<i>Mt.</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>1 Sm.</i>	<i>1 Samuel</i>
<i>Jgs.</i>	<i>Judges</i>	n.	note	<i>2 Sm.</i>	<i>2 Samuel</i>
<i>Jn.</i>	<i>John</i>	NAA	Neutron Activation Analysis	sq	square
<i>Jon.</i>	<i>Jonah</i>	<i>Nat. Hist.</i>	Pliny, <i>Naturalis Historia</i> (Natural History)	St., Sts.	Saint, Saints
<i>Jos.</i>	<i>Joshua</i>	n.b.	<i>nota bene</i> , note well	Sum.	Sumerian
<i>JPOS</i>	<i>Journal of the Palestine Oriental Society</i>	n.d.	no date	supp.	supplement
<i>JRA</i>	<i>Journal of Roman Archaeology</i>	<i>Nm.</i>	<i>Numbers</i>	Syr.	Syriac
J.T.	Jerusalem Talmud	no., nos.	number, numbers	<i>Ta'an.</i>	<i>Ta'anit</i>
<i>KAI</i>	H. Donner and W. Röllig, <i>Kanaanäische und aramäische Inschriften</i>	n.p.	no place	Th.D.	Theologicae Doctor, Doctor of Theology
<i>Kel.</i>	<i>Kelim</i>	n.s.	new series	<i>Ti.</i>	<i>Titus</i>
<i>Ket.</i>	<i>Ketubbot</i>	o.p.	Ordo Praedicatorum, Order of Preachers (Dominicans)	Tk.	Turkish
kg	kilogram	p., pp.	page, pages	<i>1 Tm.</i>	<i>1 Timothy</i>
<i>1 Kgs.</i>	<i>1 Kings</i>	para.	paragraph	<i>2 Tm.</i>	<i>2 Timothy</i>
<i>2 Kgs.</i>	<i>2 Kings</i>	PEF	Palestine Exploration Fund	trans.	translated by
km	kilometers	Pers.	Persian	Ugar.	Ugaritic
<i>KTU</i>	M. Dietrich and O. Lorentz, <i>Die keilalphabetischen Texte aus Ugarit</i>	Ph.D.	Philosophiae Doctor, Doctor of Philosophy	v.	verse
l	liter	<i>Phil.</i>	<i>Philippians</i>	viz.	<i>videlicet</i> , namely
l., ll.	line, lines	pl.	plate; plural	vol., vols.	volume, volumes
Lat.	Latin	PN	Pottery Neolithic	vs.	versus
lb.	pounds	ppm	parts per million	<i>Yad.</i>	<i>Yadayim</i>
LB	Late Bronze	PPN	Pre-Pottery Neolithic	<i>ZDPV</i>	<i>Zeitschrift des Deutschen Palästina-Vereins</i>
lit.	literally	<i>Prv.</i>	<i>Proverbs</i>	<i>Zec.</i>	<i>Zechariah</i>
<i>Lk.</i>	<i>Luke</i>	<i>Ps.</i>	<i>Psalms</i>	*	hypothetical; in bibliographic citations, English language pages in Hebrew journals
LM	Late Minoan	pt., pts.	part, parts	?	uncertain; possibly; perhaps
<i>Lv.</i>	<i>Leviticus</i>	<i>1 Pt.</i>	<i>1 Peter</i>	°	degrees
m	meters	<i>2 Pt.</i>	<i>2 Peter</i>	'	minutes; feet
M.A.	Master of Arts	r.	reigned, ruled	"	seconds; inches
masc.	masculine	<i>RCEA</i>	<i>Répertoire chronologique d'épigraphie arabe</i>	+	plus
<i>Mal.</i>	<i>Malachi</i>	<i>Rev.</i>	<i>Revelations</i>	-	minus
MB	Middle Bronze	rev.	revised	±	plus or minus
<i>Mc.</i>	<i>Maccabees</i>	<i>Ru.</i>	<i>Ruth</i>	=	equals; is equivalent to
M.Div.	Master of Divinity	SBF	Studium Biblicum Franciscanum	×	by
		SBL	Society of Biblical Literature	→	yields